

By* Libre Texting for Network Service Providers

A strategy for rapid entry into the Texting market

A proposal

Neda Communications, Inc.

Document # PLPC-110017
June 15, 2009

Available on-line at:
<http://www.neda.com/PLPC/110017>

Contents

1	Executive Summary	2
2	Introduction	3
2.1	The Model	3
2.2	The Technology	3
3	Background and Technical Objectives	4
3.1	Background	4
3.1.1	Requisite industry assets	4
3.1.2	The opportunity	6
3.1.3	Definitions	6
3.1.4	The user experience	7
3.2	Technology	9
3.2.1	Overlay Network Wireless Access	9
3.2.2	Libre Texting Protocols	10
3.2.3	Libre Texting Message Transfer Agent Software	11
3.2.4	Libre Texting Mobile Software	12
4	History and Related Work	13
5	Business Ramifications	14
5.1	Broad-Based Libre Texting Services Strategy	15

List of Figures

1	Libre Texting: Parts	5
2	Libre Texting: Functional Operation	8
3	Wi-Fi last-leg Internet connectivity, and the Overlay Network Model	10
4	Software Architecture for Server Integration (qmail)	12
5	Software Architecture for Device Integration	13
6	2007 Texting Numbers	15

1 Executive Summary

This proposal is directed towards any provider of network-oriented services. This includes companies such as ISPs, wireless network providers, and telephone companies. Throughout this document we will refer to such companies as “Network Service Providers,” or “you,” or “the Client.”

Such a company has a large network infrastructure and a large subscriber base, to whom it typically provides Layer 3 services, either wired or wireless. It may also provide some limited Layer 7 services directly, and other services in partnership with specialist Layer 7 companies.

This proposal presents a strategy for a Network Service Provider to rapidly extend its service offering to include comprehensive Layer 7 capabilities. The result will be a complete, fully integrated communications and computing service for your subscribers.

This proposal is **not** about a conventional strategic partnership with a Layer 7 company. How this proposal is different is that the Network Service Provider itself will become an independent Layer 7 operator. It will provide Layer 7 services to its subscribers directly, without needing a strategic partnership with anyone.

This project proposes Libre Texting: a new incarnation of the Mobile Email/Wireless Texting (henceforth “Texting”) medium, based on the Free Software ideology. Today the Texting industry is dominated by the proprietary, walled garden model. Libre Texting aims to provide equivalent functionality to existing proprietary Texting solutions such as BlackBerry, but (a) based entirely on patent-free protocols and free software, (b) using any mobile Internet device, (c) wherever any type of wireless Internet connectivity is available. The proposed approach comprises five elements: (1) the inherent propagative power of the Free Software and end-to-end models, (2) an overlay network architecture, (3) a new set of messaging protocols, (4) a novel software architecture for Message Transfer Agent integration, and (5) a new software architecture for multiform device integration, based on Device-Resident End-MTA middleware. The proposed technology is highly synergistic with current industry dynamics, including: burgeoning recognition of the power of FOSS, ready availability of Linux-based mobile devices, and widespread Wi-Fi availability. Existing email protocols lack push-mode delivery, and bandwidth and power efficiency; the proposed new protocol profile corrects these shortcomings. The proposed research is to determine feasibility of all critical elements of this approach at large scale.

The proposed Libre Texting technology is transformative, allowing the current walled-garden hegemony to be broken, and creating a new point of convergence as the standard. This has major engineering, business and societal consequences, with tangible benefits to the end-user. For example, greatly expanded range of choice—the user can now make independent selection of each component of her Texting setup, including the user interface, the Mail User Agent, the device, and other desired components (forwarders, synchronizers, filters). The Libre Texting technology unbundles the Texting application, opening every point of the Texting technology chain to competition and best-in-class component selection. Furthermore, the Libre model opens the Texting industry to the powerful free software generative dynamics. Businesswise, this Libre Texting initiative represents a radical shift of the Texting industry to the non-proprietary, for-profit quadrant, causing

a major industry reconfiguration, with significant winners and losers. The losers are the existing vested proprietary interests, whose economic hegemony vanishes. But the winners are the many more companies who can now enter the Texting market—and the end-user who benefits from the resulting competition. Regarding broader societal consequences, the Libre model provides assurances of transparency, privacy and freedom of speech—assurances absent under the proprietary model.

2 Introduction

We are proposing a new incarnation of the Mobile Email/Wireless Texting medium (henceforth just “Texting”), based on the ideological principles of Free Software. We refer to this new incarnation as the **Libre Texting** model. Texting is already well established as a communications medium. But today the Texting industry exists in the form of a proprietary, walled-garden model, controlled by a small number of powerful proprietary commercial interests. The goal of the Libre Texting initiative is to provide equivalent functionality to existing proprietary Texting solutions such as BlackBerry, but:

- In a completely non-proprietary form
- Using *any* mobile Internet device
- Wherever *any* type of wireless Internet connectivity is available.
- At very large (planet-wide) scale

The strategy to accomplish this has two distinct parts: the “model” part, and the technology part.

2.1 The Model

The model part refers to the technocratic context within which the Texting functionality exists and is delivered. The proposed model is completely non-proprietary, or **Libre**. This means that the Texting service is based exclusively on patent-free protocols [3], implemented exclusively in free software, and conforms fully to the Internet end-to-end principle.

The upshot of all this is that the Libre Texting technology does not carry any restrictive limitations on its dissemination, implementation, or usage by anyone.

2.2 The Technology

The technology part consists of the technological innovations required for practical, large-scale implementation of Libre Texting. The critical enabling technology consists of the following four components, acting together in close integration:

- An Overlay Network architecture for end-to-end communication, permitting NAT traversal, and push.
- A new set of messaging protocols, providing push-mode delivery, wide-area narrowband efficiency, and scalability.
- A novel software architecture for smooth integration into existing Message Transfer Agents (MTAs). This is necessary for ready industry adoption and integration into multiple MTAs.
- A novel software architecture for uniform integration with multiple existing open platform devices and Mail User Agents. The proposed architecture is based on the concept of a Device-Resident End-MTA middleware module, as intermediary between the protocol software and the MUA.

Note that the Libre Texting initiative is not about new or enhanced messaging functionality. In terms of capability, Libre Texting provides essentially equivalent functionality to existing Mobile Messaging/Texting solutions such as the proprietary BlackBerry system. Rather, it is about a radically new model for ownership and delivery of this functionality.

Though the model is the critical basis for Libre Texting, it is not the subject of this project. As a starting-point assumption, we take the viability of the Libre model for granted. This research proposal is focused entirely on the above technology components. Specifically, the key focus of the research is to determine feasibility of these technology solutions at large scale.

To sum all this up, the proposal is *to determine feasibility of the critical technological components required for practical implementation of a complete Texting service, based on the Libre model, on a multiplicity of devices, and at very large (planet-wide) scale.*

This, of course, has immense business consequences. Should this proposal prove feasible, we plan to develop the business dimension to profit from our unique leadership role.

3 Background and Technical Objectives

3.1 Background

The mobile messaging industry of today is a closed, proprietary construct. The wireless phone companies and/or their business partners own and control every component of the messaging service, including the device, the protocols, the software and the network.

In addition to their proprietary nature, existing wireless texting/messaging implementations (telephony SMS, and mobile email solutions such as BlackBerry) violate the Internet end-to-end principle by implementing centrally controlled, service provider store-and-forward components as a function “within” the network. This is in contrast to the Internet email architecture, which is end-to-end.

3.1.1 Requisite industry assets

Until quite recently, implementation of a Texting solution outside these walled-garden environments has been blocked by absence of the necessary non-proprietary components, such as open devices and public wireless spectrum. But now a completely non-proprietary, end-to-end Libre Texting solution is technically possible. This is enabled by a number of industry developments:

- Public spectrum Wi-Fi is now ubiquitous and has become the standard technology for final-leg device connectivity. In many locations Wi-Fi is available for direct, single-leg connectivity between the mobile device and the open Internet. This coverage can be expected to spread, eventually resulting in near-universal Wi-Fi Internet access.
- In situations or locations where direct Wi-Fi Internet connectivity is not available, a number of wide-area networks now exist to provide second-to-last-leg, wide-area wireless connectivity.
- Mature and sophisticated Linux-based PDAs are readily available as generic open mobile devices.
- Open, patent-free protocols exist for efficient wireless messaging. Also, device and server implementations of the protocols exist in the form of free software.
- The eventual transition to IPv6 will allow restoration of the true mobile Internet end-to-end model, delivering mobile messaging capability without any form of built-in dependence on the service provider.

Thus all the necessary industry assets are now in place to implement a completely Libre Texting service. Every component of the service can be implemented in an open form, without any closed or proprietary or dependencies. This includes the device, the protocols, the software, and access to the wireless network.




Figure 1: Libre Texting: Parts

3.1.2 The opportunity

The opportunity is now also in place.

The existing Texting/Mobile-Email industry is dysfunctional and unstable in a number of respects. First, the industry is severely fragmented. In 2009 there are five major mobile messaging players: BlackBerry, AT&T + Apple iPhone, Sprint + Palm Pre, T-Mobile + Google Android, and Microsoft PocketPC. These all provide essentially the same functionality. Yet these are isolated islands of functionality, based on different devices, different protocols, and different Mail User Agents, none of which are cross-compatible. A systems integrator or user cannot mix and match among these components. As additional industry players attempt to muscle their way into the lucrative Texting market, all indications are that this fragmentation will increase.

In the long term, this situation is untenable. As a global communications medium, there are strong forces of convergence towards a single dominant solution. Sooner or later the industry must and will coalesce around a unified Texting solution, providing across-the-board, industry-wide standardization.

In addition, there are strong strategic forces at work for change within the industry. Today the industry is a proprietary hegemony, from which small business players are excluded. Also excluded are some very large players, exceedingly covetous of the gigantic Texting market. Thus there is great pressure to break the walled-garden regime, by powerful forces outside the walled garden.

Given all this, a major industry shift of some sort is inevitable. Whether acting individually or in concert, there is strong motivation among the industry players to preemptively fabricate, and lay claim to, the elusive point of convergence. The preemptive fabrications may take many forms, but are likely to be quasi-Libre constructs, superficially resembling the true Libre solution we propose.

We believe that the Libre Texting model is ideally constituted to emerge as the decisive point of stability and convergence. In contrast to the existing proprietary incumbents, and any quasi-Libre upstart, Libre Texting is not constrained by any form of proprietary ownership mechanism such as patents or restrictive copyright. This is the fundamental generative power of the free/Libre model. It is this power that can cause Libre Texting to displace the existing proprietary regime, in the face of ferocious attempts by very powerful vested interests to defeat it in favor of the status quo.

Our goal is to establish Libre Texting as the convergence point and common standard for operation of all devices, and all message transfer services, worldwide. We believe Libre Texting is the right solution at the right time. The inherent generative power of the Libre model, together with the current industry instabilities, together with the four enabling technological innovations we describe, together with sophisticated engineering and business execution—all this can destroy the existing proprietary regime completely, preemptively stifle any quasi-Libre hijack attempt, and establish Libre Texting as the new industry standard.

The long-term forces towards convergence dictate an ultimate, winner-takes-all scenario. That winner can be us.

3.1.3 Definitions

A consistent terminology for wireless texting/messaging has not yet been established, and terms such as “texting,” “wireless messaging,” and “mobile email” are often used interchangeably, and with different meanings. “Texting” is often used in the context of telephony SMS, and “messaging” is often used in the context of mobile email, but this usage is by no means universal. The best we can do is define our own terms clearly.

We use the term **Texting** to mean a mobile messaging service that:

- Supports the unconscious carry, always on, model for device usage
- Supports immediate (push-mode) delivery and alert for right-now messaging
- Is a functional extension of Internet email, oriented to short text messages

Thus we are here talking about an email-type service, with a richer functionality than today's telephony SMS.

In terms of model, we now formally define **Libre Texting** as a Texting service that:

- Is based exclusively on **patent-free protocols**
- Is based exclusively on 100% **free software**
- Is delivered as a **Libre Service**
- Conforms fully to the Internet end-to-end model

We also define a **Libre Texting Device** as a device which:

- Has an unconscious-carry form factor (shirt or pants pocket)
- Has text format input and output capability
- Has Wi-Fi for last-leg connectivity, or other form of wireless Internet connectivity
- Is an open platform—i.e. permits unrestricted software addition and configuration

Note that there is no implication that the device is necessarily a traditional data-enabled mobile phone. To the contrary, our baseline assumption throughout this proposal is that the device takes the form of a typical Wi-Fi-based Mobile Internet Device (MID).

Wi-Fi capability is now near-universal in mobile devices, so that this is by far the most common form of last-leg device connectivity. But Wi-Fi specifically is in no way a requirement, and any form of Internet connectivity will suffice.

In 2009 a large number of Libre Texting Devices are available in the marketplace, including Nokia 800/810, unlocked Android, unlocked iPhone, PocketPC, and others.

3.1.4 The user experience

We assume the following initial conditions as a starting point: (1) the user already has or will independently acquire a Libre Texting Device as defined above, and (2) the user has final-leg Wi-Fi (or other wireless) connectivity to the Internet.

Setting up Libre Texting service starts with provisioning the user with the necessary accounts and access credentials. She starts by accessing the generic Libre Texting website, and creating a new account for herself. At the time of account creation, the following items are created for her:

- A Libre Texting account, and account credentials (username and password)
- Overlay Network access credentials (username and password)
- A unique Overlay Network static IP address

Next, we set up her mobile device with the necessary software, and configure the device with her account credentials. Using the mobile device, she accesses her Libre Texting website account and invokes the device setup procedure. The setup queries her for the device make and model number, then following proper confirmation the setup automatically downloads the free Libre Texting software to her device, and configures it with her credentials for access to the Overlay Network, and for access to her Libre Texting account.

Figure 2 shows how Libre Texting delivers functionality to the user. The EMSD-specific components (EMSD User Agent and EMSD Server) are shown in green in the figure. The Overlay Network at the bottom of the stack (shown in yellow) is used whenever EMSD connectivity is needed.

Under one scenario, Libre Texting service can be provided by an independent email service provider (the box labeled "EMSD Enabled Service Provider" in the center of the figure). Under Libre Texting this role can be played by *any* Message Center operator—for example, by any one of the large number of existing ISP companies. All that is required for an ISP or other Message Center operator to become a provider




Figure 2: Libre Texting: Functional Operation

of EMSD-based mobile messaging services, is for them to install the necessary EMSD Message Center software.

The Message Transfer System may include a number of EMSD Server Agents (EMSD-SAs). Each EMSD-SA may have any number of EMSD User Agents (EMSD-UAs) with which it communicates.

Under a different scenario, Libre Texting capability can be part of a corporate email system, as shown at the bottom of the figure (the box labeled “Corporate Email System”). This functionality is provided by installing the appropriate EMSD software in the corporate Message Center.

3.2 Technology

3.2.1 Overlay Network Wireless Access

By definition, the Libre Texting Device has some form of wireless Internet connectivity. The most common situation is where the device has Wi-Fi connectivity behind Network Address Translation (NAT), with a leased dynamic private IP address.

Regardless of the wireless modality, it must be extended to achieve NAT traversal, for mobility and presence detection (needed for push-mode operation), and for security enhancement. We propose use of an Overlay Network architecture for this. The Overlay Network resides on top of the existing network structure, and provides the following requirements:

- A static IP address (independent of the user’s physical location and point of attachment within the local Wi-Fi network)
- Unfiltered bidirectional data flow for Libre Texting traffic (NAT traversal)
- Layer 3 authentication and data confidentiality

The device first establishes initial Internet connectivity, typically behind a NAT. Based on that initial connectivity the device then signs on to the Overlay Network based on its unique static IP address, and is now end-to-end, two-way connected. Thus the device is always reachable by the server. Reachability while mobile is in effect accomplished by the static IP address.

The Overlay Network architecture also gives us immediate presence detection, allowing prompt message push delivery. In other words, the moment the device is reachable, the MTA becomes aware of the device. By means of the Overlay Network we become in effect a network operator, without owning a physical network.

We are using the term Overlay Network in a broad generic sense. The actual overlay capability can be provided by any of the following technologies:

- Virtual Private Network (based on OpenVPN)
- Public Mobile IPv6
- Private Mobile IPv6

All these are viable candidates, and possible future evolutionary directions for Libre Texting. A key consideration for selecting one or more of these for support is ready availability of free software for implementation of these technologies on Libre Texting Devices.

Our starting point choice is OpenVPN. OpenVPN is a widely used, free and open source virtual private network (VPN) program for server-to-multiple-client encrypted tunnels between MTAs and Mobile Texting Devices. This is entirely adequate to our purposes, and has widely available free software implementations.

Note that we exclude public and private mobile IPv4 from the list of candidate technologies. We exclude public IPv4 because of exhaustion of the address space. We exclude private mobile IPv4 because it is essentially equivalent to Virtual Private Network, and because software for Mobile-IPv4 is not widely available for mobile device platforms.




Figure 3: Wi-Fi last-leg Internet connectivity, and the Overlay Network Model

Figure 3 shows three major scenarios for connection between the device and the open Internet, and extension of this connectivity by the Overlay Network. The right of the figure shows the simplest situation, where the user has direct Internet connectivity.

The center of the figure shows a situation where a wide-area network (either broadband or narrowband) is used for second-to-last leg connectivity. For example via public spectrum MURS, or using a mobile wide-area Wi-Fi hotspot appliance such as MiFi for use with the cellular network.

The left of the figure shows a situation where Internet connectivity is via multiple concatenated Wi-Fi links. This often happens when a user with Wi-Fi access chooses to share that access by providing an “easement” to others who may have been previously restricted.

We will validate feasibility of this proposed architecture in this project.

3.2.2 Libre Texting Protocols

The key component of our implementation is a set of mobile messaging protocols called the **EMSD protocols**. The EMSD protocols fully satisfy the necessary technical requirements for mobile messaging. They provide the efficiency required for wireless applications, and support push-mode message delivery, an essential requirement for the expected immediacy of Texting.

The EMSD protocol, titled:

Neda’s Efficient Mail Submission and Delivery (EMSD) Protocol Specification Version 1.3

was published as Internet **RFC-2524** [4] in 1999.

The EMSD protocol provides reliable connectionless mail submission and delivery services on top of ESRO. The ESRO protocol, titled:

AT&T/Neda’s Efficient Short Remote Operations (ESRO) Protocol Specification Version 1.2

was published as [RFC-2188 \[5\]](#) in 1997.

The Primary Investigator for this proposal (M. Banan) is the primary designer of the protocols, and the primary author of both RFCs.

EMSD narrowly focuses on submission and delivery of short mail messages with a clear emphasis on efficiency. It is designed specifically with wireless network usage in mind. EMSD is designed to be a natural enhancement to the mainstream Internet mail protocols, when efficiency in mail submission and mail delivery are important.

Efficiency

The submission of a short message using SMTP requires 15 transmissions. The submission of a short message with SMTP and PIPELINING requires 9 transmissions. The submission of a short message with EMSD (EMSD-P and ESRO) typically requires only 3 transmissions.

Various efficiency studies comparing EMSD with SMTP, POP and IMAP are available. A detailed theoretical and empirical comparison of SMTP and EMSD is available in *Efficiency of EMSD [1]*.

Reliability

In order to provide the same level of reliability that the existing email protocols provide for short messages, it is clear that a reliable underlying service is needed. UDP by itself is clearly not adequate.

The ESRO protocol provides reliable connectionless remote operation services on top of UDP with minimum overhead. ESRO supports segmentation and reassembly, concatenation and separation.

The reliable transfer of a short message using ESRO involves 3 transmissions, as is the case with EMSD-P.

In order to minimize the number of bytes transferred, efficient encoding mechanisms are needed. By selecting ASN.1 as the notation used for expressing the EMSD information objects, EMSD has the flexibility of using the most efficient encoding rules, such as Packed Encoding Rules (PER).

Relationship of EMSD to other mail protocols

EMSD is designed to be a companion to existing Internet mail protocols. It is designed to fit within the many protocols already in use for messaging.

The various Internet mail protocols provide different sets of capabilities for mail processing.

For example, a user interested in highly mobile messaging functionality can use EMSD for the submission and delivery of time-critical and important messages, and use IMAP for comprehensive access to his/her mailbox.

From the very beginning, the Internet email architecture was not monolithic. Rather, it takes the form of a set of specialized protocols working together. Identifying a proper and pragmatic set of protocols (a profile) for Texting/Mobile Email and validating their collective interactions with EMSD is an important technical objective of this proposal.

3.2.3 Libre Texting Message Transfer Agent Software

An important consideration is that Libre Texting must fit naturally into the existing structure of the Internet email service. Libre Texting may be disruptive in terms of model, but it must not be disruptive in terms of technological implementation. To facilitate ready industry adoption, the Libre Texting protocols must fit in a straightforward way into existing Message Transfer Agents.

The great majority of Internet email traffic is currently handled by the following MTAs: qmail, Sendmail, Microsoft Exchange, Postfix, Exim.




Figure 4: Software Architecture for Server Integration (qmail)

Figure 4 shows the proposed software architecture for integration of EMSD-SA into the qmail Mail Transfer Agent. This particular proposed architecture is specific to Linux and qmail, but we expect that integration with the other major MTAs can be accomplished based on a similar scheme.

The configuration proposed in Figure 4 consists of the usual qmail architecture (shown in blue), with `emsd-sa-submitd` (shown at the top green) added as a module to process incoming EMSD traffic. The architecture of `emsd-sa-submitd` will be similar to that of `mailfront`, which is a modern modular replacement for `qmail-smtpd`. This architecture permits sharing of the Credential Validation Module (`cvm`), and the Credentials, between `emsd-sa-submitd` and `mailfront`.

Based on the presence detection information acquired from the Overlay Network, EMSD deliveries will be initiated immediately the device becomes reachable.

The novel and experimental (unproven) part of this proposed architecture is the linkage between the Presence Detection module (shown in orange) and `qmail-queue`. Apart from its main input, output and timers, `qmail-queue` is not event driven. An important technological objective is to investigate the feasibility of reusing or modifying the queuing and spooling system of qmail, in conjunction with lower-layer presence detection for immediate EMSD delivery.

Since the entire software proposed in Figure 4 is free/Libre Software, EMSD-enabled MTAs can be deployed by anyone wishing to implement Libre Texting.

3.2.4 Libre Texting Mobile Software

Towards our goal of widespread industry adoption, the Libre Texting protocols must fit in a straightforward way into many end user devices, running a variety of Mail User Agents (MUA). To facilitate this there must be minimal disruption of the existing MUA architecture.

We propose an architecture based on the concept of a **Device-Resident End-MTA** middleware module, acting as intermediary between the protocol software and the MUA.

In Figure 5 we show a software architecture for integration of EMSD-UA with qmail to create a Device-Resident End-MTA. On its external interface (grey and yellow – lower end), the Device-Resident End-MTA interacts with the Internet at large using EMSD, SMTP, and IMAP. On its internal interface (local loop-back interface; address 127.0.0.1) the Device-Resident End-MTA interacts with the MUA based on SMTP and IMAP. Thus the MUA need have no awareness of EMSD at all. This architecture is quite general and can be used on almost all platforms. In this model, the MUA is always configured for the 127.0.0.1 interface for




Figure 5: Software Architecture for Device Integration

the SMTP gateway, and the IMAP server. The Device-Resident End-MTA is then configured with the real external server information.

offlineimap will be used to optionally synchronize the device's mailstore/Maildir (grey) so that the user's inbox is locally available, even when there is no network connectivity.

Note that because the entire software proposed in Figure 5 is free/Libre software, the Device-Resident End-MTA can be made available on any Linux-based device without any restrictions.

A technological objective of this project is to validate correct operation of the Device-Resident End-MTA against a number of existing clients such as modest, Claws Mail, and Emacs GNUS.

4 History and Related Work

Early research and experimental work that supports the ideas and concepts upon which this proposal is based is summarized below.

pACT

In 1995 AT&T Wireless Services began development of a wireless messaging system called *personal Air Communications Technology* (pACT). The spectrum for pACT was Narrowband PCS, and the lower layers of pACT were based on CDPD, [2], technology, the first nationwide native mobile IP network. The pACT messaging protocols were designed for efficient IP-based mobile messaging/texting. In effect, pACT was to be functionally equivalent to the popular mobile email solutions of today such as BlackBerry.

Neda Communications, and the Principal Investigator (Mohsen Banan), played a major role in the development of the pACT system. In particular, the PI was the primary architect of the mobile messaging

component of pACT. Previous to this the PI had played an active role in the development of CDPD.

AT&T spent about \$500M on the development of pACT, including \$160M for the purchase of nationwide Narrowband PCS licenses. But then in March 1997 AT&T abandoned the pACT wireless messaging project entirely, and elected not to maintain or further pursue any of the pACT technology.

Independent of AT&T, Neda completed development of the protocols, and published them as [RFC-2188](#), [5], (1998) and [RFC-2524](#) (1999). As the primary author of these RFCs the Principal Investigator has made [patent-free declarations](#) for both protocols through the [Free Protocols Foundation](#).

Lemonade

Since 2003, the Lemonade working group at the IETF has been attempting to provide a set of enhancements and profiles of Internet email submission, transport, and retrieval protocols to facilitate operation on platforms with constrained resources, or via communications links with high latency or limited bandwidth. A primary goal of this work is to ensure that those profiles and enhancements continue to interoperate with the existing Internet email protocols in use on the Internet, so that these environments and more traditional Internet users have access to a seamless service.

Various other groups are also active in this area, including: (a) 3GPP TSG T WG2 SWG3 Messaging, (b) W3C Multimodal interaction Activity, (c) Open Mobile Alliance, (d) 3GPP2 TSG-X.

All these groups are dominated by existing vested interests and are therefore not likely to be ready or willing to accept the Libre model in full.

Libre Texting as presented in this proposal is not in conflict with Lemonade [9], [8], [6], but with respect to initial submission and final delivery of messages takes a more pragmatic and efficient approach.

The proposed EMSD approach addresses the key missing features (push-delivery and efficiency) needed for mobile email, while Lemonade attempts to address various peripheral features.

Push-IMAP

Push-IMAP (also known as P-IMAP, or Push extensions for Internet Message Access Protocol) is based on IMAPv4 Rev1 (RFC 3501) [7], but contains additional enhancements for optimization in a mobile setting. Push-IMAP was not included in the Lemonade Profile (RFC 4550) [9], and is only available as an internet-draft.

Though they are both based on IMAP, Yahoo Mail and MobileMe for iPhone do not use a standard form of Push-IMAP. Yahoo Mail uses a proprietary extension to the IMAP protocol, and Apple's MobileMe uses a server within Apple that maintains a persistent IP connection to each iPhone, which allows push email.

We believe that our proposed use of EMSD for Libre Texting has a number of advantages over the Push-IMAP approach. These include: superior efficiency [1], better Overlay Network NAT traversal rather than maintaining a persistent TCP connection, and native push-delivery instead of extending IMAP in a non-standard way.

5 Business Ramifications

Libre Texting represents a radical shift of the Texting industry to the *non-proprietary, for-profit quadrant*, causing a major industry reconfiguration, with significant winners and losers. The losers are the existing vested proprietary interests, whose economic hegemony vanishes. But the winners are the many more companies who can now enter the Texting market—and the end-user who benefits from the resulting competition.

As an established communications modality, the magnitude of the Texting market is already well characterized. For example see the article titled *thx 4 the revnu* by Steven Cherry in the October 2008 issue of




Figure 6: 2007 Texting Numbers

IEEE Spectrum, available at:

<http://www.spectrum.ieee.org/oct08/6817>

This article provides relevant analysis and statistics on Texting usage and market size.

In 2008 the Texting/Mobile Email market was in excess of \$150 billion dollars.

Figure 6 shows the top five texters in 2007 (Sources: Ovum, Quantifica). The growth of texting usage in the U.S. and world wide is not showing any slowdowns.

5.1 Broad-Based Libre Texting Services Strategy

The ultimate long-term revenue source is of course the Libre Texting services business itself.

References Cited

- [1] "Mohsen BANAN". " emsd efficiency ". Permanent Libre Published Content "100003", Libre Content, "October" 1996. <http://www.freeprotocols.org/PLPC/100003>.
- [2] "Mohsen BANAN ". " internetnetwork mobility – the cdpd approach ". Permanent Libre Published Content "120021", Libre Content, "December" 2007. <http://mohsen.banan.1.byname.net/PLPC/120021>.

- [3] Andrew Hammoude " " Mohsen BANAN. " the free protocols foundation policies and procedures ". Permanent Libre Published Content "100201", Libre Content, "March" 2000. <http://www.freeprotocols.org/PLPC/100201>.
- [4] M. Banan. Neda's Efficient Mail Submission and Delivery (EMSD) Protocol Specification Version 1.3. RFC 2524 (Informational), February 1999.
- [5] M. Banan, M. Taylor, and J. Cheng. AT&T/Neda's Efficient Short Remote Operations (ESRO) Protocol Specification Version 1.2. RFC 2188 (Informational), September 1997.
- [6] E. Burger and G. Parsons. LEMONADE Architecture - Supporting Open Mobile Alliance (OMA) Mobile Email (MEM) Using Internet Mail. RFC 5442 (Informational), March 2009.
- [7] M. Crispin. INTERNET MESSAGE ACCESS PROTOCOL - VERSION 4rev1. RFC 3501 (Proposed Standard), March 2003. Updated by RFCs 4466, 4469, 4551, 5032, 5182.
- [8] R. Gellens. Deployment Considerations for Lemonade-Compliant Mobile Email. RFC 5383 (Best Current Practice), October 2008.
- [9] S. Maes and A. Melnikov. Internet Email to Support Diverse Service Environments (Lemonade) Profile. RFC 4550 (Proposed Standard), June 2006.